UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Illinois		
United States of America)	
V.) Case No. 19-CR-30012-SMY-1	
TAMECIA C. BUCKLEY) Case No. 19-CR-30012-5W11-1	
Defendant)	
ORDER OF DET	TENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - F	Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the		
☐ Motion of the Government attorney po	ursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's	own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
	ention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fac 42(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact an	d Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
	U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable f conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person itions have been met:	
(1) the defendant is charged with one of	the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maxim	of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. mum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or num sentence is life imprisonment or death; or	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.	n term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the .C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or	een convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses ough (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal nation of such offenses; or	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise		
* * * * *	on of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>	
` <i>'</i>	nvicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.	
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offens to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	se that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise	
	above for which the defendant has been convicted was elease pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>	

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

40 472	(Rev. 09/16)	Order	of Detention	Pending Trial
AU 4/2	(ICCV. U3/1U	Oluci	of Detellion	I chung I mai

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
are surely of any other person and are community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the assend at the beginns the assens for detention include the following:
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

Case 3:19-cr-30012-SMY Document 45 Filed 08/20/19 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #83

Ouse	0.10 0 00012 0111	2000ment 40 1 ned 00/20/10 1 age 0 010 1 age 12 //00		
AO 472 (Rev. 09/16)	Order of Detention Pending Trial			
Lack of	f legal status in the Unite	d States		
	-	on after serving any period of incarceration		
	ilure to appear in court a			
	tempt(s) to evade law en			
	alias(es) or false docume			
	ound information unknow			
		role, or supervised release		
OTHER REASO	NS OR FURTHER EXP	LANATION:		
		e was probable cause to believe that the person had committed a Federal, State, was required to be detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3148(b)(1).		
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention				
for confinement is being held in cust with defense couperson in charge	in a corrections facility stody pending appeal. Thusel. On order of a co	of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative reparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or the defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation runt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the ty must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an occeding.		
Date:	08/20/2019	s/Gilhert C. Sison		

United States Magistrate Judge